UNBC	<b>CPSC 320</b>		
stat	$\rightarrow$	if <i>bool_expr</i> then stat else stat	
		stat ; stat	
		variable := expr	
variable	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{v}$	
expr	$\rightarrow$	expr + expr	
		expr – expr	
		expr * expr	
		variable	
	Ì	0   1	
relation	$\rightarrow$	<   =   >	
$bool\_expr$	$\rightarrow$	expr relation expr	

Figure 1: Abstract grammar for Semantics Worksheet

Fall/2007

## Homework Assignment #4

For the sake of concreteness in this assignment assume that we have the abstract grammar shown in Figure 1. Suppose also that the set of locations is given by  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ , that the set of values that expressions can take is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $S_0$  be the memory state  $\mathcal{L} \times \{0\} = \{(\mathbf{x}, 0), (\mathbf{y}, 0), (\mathbf{z}, 0), (\mathbf{w}, 0), (\mathbf{u}, 0), (\mathbf{v}, 0)\}$ .

1. Suppose that  $S_1$  is the state  $\{(\mathbf{x}, 2), (\mathbf{w}, 3), (\mathbf{z}, 1), (\mathbf{y}, 4), (\mathbf{u}, 0), (\mathbf{v}, 0)\}$ , and that p is the program

 $\overline{x := y+1+1}$ ; y := 1+1+1+1+1.

What is  $C[p](S_0)$ ? What is  $C[p](S_1)$ ?

$$\mathcal{C}[p](S_0) = \{ (\mathbf{x}, 2), (\mathbf{w}, 0), (\mathbf{z}, 0), (\mathbf{y}, 3), (\mathbf{u}, 0), (\mathbf{v}, 0) \},$$
(1)

$$\mathcal{C}[p](S_1) = \{ (\mathbf{x}, 6), (\mathbf{w}, 3), (\mathbf{z}, 1), (\mathbf{y}, 3), (\mathbf{u}, 0), (\mathbf{v}, 0) \}.$$
(2)

2. Discuss the claim that for any statement p in our language, we can write

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[p](s) &= s[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(s)][\mathbf{y} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s)][\mathbf{z} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s)]\\ & [\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s)][\mathbf{u} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{u}}(s)][\mathbf{v} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(s)] \end{split}$$

for suitable functions  $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\phi_{\mathbf{y}}$ ,  $\phi_{\mathbf{z}}$ , ,  $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ ,  $\phi_{\mathbf{u}}$ , and  $\phi_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

All programs halt and never produce errors and always produce the same result for a given state of memory, so  $C[\![p]\!](s) = \theta(s)$  for some function  $\theta$ . For a given state s,  $\theta(s)$  has a value for each variable, so we can define functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(s) &= \theta(s)(\mathbf{x}) & \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s) = \theta(s)(\mathbf{y}) & \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s) = \theta(s)(\mathbf{z}) \\ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s) &= \theta(s)(\mathbf{w}) & \phi_{\mathbf{u}}(s) = \theta(s)(\mathbf{u}) & \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(s) = \theta(s)(\mathbf{v}) \end{aligned}$$

It's now just a matter of boring computation to show that these are the right functions. For instance we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[p](s)(\mathbf{w}) &= s[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(s)][\mathbf{y} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s)][\mathbf{z} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s)] \\ & [\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s)][\mathbf{u} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{u}}(s)][\mathbf{v} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{v}}(s)](\mathbf{w}) \\ &= s[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(s)][\mathbf{y} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s)][\mathbf{z} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s)] \\ & [\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s)][\mathbf{u} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s)][\mathbf{z} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s)] \\ & [\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s)][\mathbf{y} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(s)][\mathbf{z} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(s)] \\ & [\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s)](\mathbf{w}) & \text{because } \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{u} \\ &= \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(s) & \text{because } \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \\ &= \theta(s)(\mathbf{w}) & \text{by definition} \end{split}$$

3. Suppose that we extend the abstract syntax of statements so that we can write empty statements, for instance, ;;;x:=1+1;;. What should the meaning of the empty statement be? The meaning of a statement should be a function from states to states that does nothing, that is the identity function:

$$C[\![\ ;\ ;\ ]\!](S) = S. \tag{3}$$

4. Completely write out the formal denotational semantics for the programming language shown in Figure 1 using functions C, B, and  $\mathcal{E}$ , where the domains and co-domains are specified as follows:

function	domain	co-domain
$\mathcal{C}$	stat	$\mathcal{S}\mapsto \mathcal{S}$
${\cal E}$	expr	$\mathcal{S}\mapsto\mathbb{Z}$
${\mathcal B}$	$bool\_expr$	$\mathcal{S} \mapsto \{T,F\}$

In the following equations  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are meta-syntactic variables that range over statements (stat);  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are meta-syntactic variables that range over expressions (expr); and  $v_1$  is a meta-syntactic variable that ranges over  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$  (variable). We let S stand for a memory state, that is a function in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}}$ .

$$\mathcal{C}\llbracket \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \rrbracket(S) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}\llbracket s_1 \rrbracket(S) & \text{if } \mathcal{B}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) = \mathsf{T} \\ \mathcal{C}\llbracket s_2 \rrbracket(S) & \text{if } \mathcal{B}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) = \mathsf{F} \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$\mathcal{C}[\![s_1; s_2]\!] = \mathcal{C}[\![s_2]\!] \circ \mathcal{C}[\![s_1]\!]$$
(5)

$$\mathcal{C}\llbracket v_1 := e_1 \rrbracket(S) = S[v_1 \mapsto \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S)]$$
(6)

$$\mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) + \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S)$$
(7)

$$\mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 * e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) \times \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S)$$
(8)

$$\mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 - e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) - \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S)$$
(9)

$$\mathcal{E}\llbracket v \rrbracket(S) = S(v) \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\mathbf{1}]\!](S) = 1 \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\mathbf{0}]\!](S) = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket e_1 < e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{T} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) < \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S), \\ \mathsf{F} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(13)

$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket e_1 = e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{T} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) = \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S), \\ \mathsf{F} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(14)

$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket e_1 > e_2 \rrbracket(S) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{T} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(S) > \mathcal{E}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(S), \\ \mathsf{F} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(15)