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#### Abstract

An inequality for the Euler's Beta -function is established. Properties of the Beta probability distributions like mean, variance, moment ratios, are considered to prove some more inequalities.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Beta probability distributions have established their usefulness in the statistical analysis of reliability, life testing models and in many other applications [Bain (1978)]. A beta random variable (r.v.) $X$ with parameters $(a, b)$ has the probability density function ( $p d f$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x: a, b)=\frac{x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}}{B(a, b)} ; 0<x<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=\{a, b: a>0, b>0\}$ and $B(a, b)=\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$.
These distributions possess a number of statistical properties [Johnson and Kotz (1970), p.41; Ord (1972), p. 6], some of interesting being : these are (i) members of Pearson family, (ii) exponential (a) wrt $a$ ( $b$ known), (b) wrt $b$ ( $a$ known), (c) wrt both $a$ and $b$, (iii) monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) in (a) $T_{1}(x)=\log x,(b$ known $)$, (b) $T_{2}(x)=\log (1-x)$, ( $a$ known), (iv) unimodal $(a>1, b>1)$; not unimodal $[(a<1, b<1)$, or, $(a-1)(b-1) \leq 0]$. These have (i) increasing failure rate (IFR) ( $a \geq 1, b=1$ ), not $\operatorname{IFR}(0<a<1)$, not decreasing failure rate (DFR) $(0<a<1), r(x)=\frac{a x^{a-1}}{1-x^{a}}$, for $b=1$, and (ii) $\operatorname{IFR}(a=1), r(x)=\frac{b}{1-x}$, where $r(x)$ is the failure rate.

The present paper aims at first establishing an inequality on the Beta - function and then, to use moments and moment ratios of the Beta random variables to derive some more inequalities.
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## 2. AN INEQUALITY FOR THE EULER'S BETA - FUNCTION

We start with the following lemma.
Lemma. Let $m, n, p$, and $q$ be positive real numbers, such that ( $p-m$ ) $(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(p, q) B(m, n) \geq(\leq) B(p, n) B(m, q) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(p+n) \Gamma(q+m) \leq(\geq) \Gamma(p+q) \Gamma(m+n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define the mappings : $f, g, h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{p-m}, g(x)=(1-x)^{q-n} \text { and } h(x)=x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{As}(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$, the mappings $f$ and $g$ are the same (opposite) monotonic on $[0,1]$ and $h$ is non-monotonic on [0,1].

Applying the well known Cebysev's integral inequality for synchronous (asynchronous) mappings [Lebedev (1957)] , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d x \int_{a}^{b} h(x) f(x) g(x) d x \geq(\leq) \int_{a}^{b} h(x) f(x) d x \int_{a}^{b} h(x) g(x) d x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write the inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} d x \int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} x^{p-m}(1-x)^{q-n} d x \geq(\leq) \\
\int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} x^{p-m} d x \int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1}(1-x)^{q-n} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} d x \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1}(1-x)^{q-1} d x \geq(\leq)
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1}(1-x)^{n-1} d x \int_{0}^{1} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{q-1} d x
$$

and, by virtue of (1.1), the inequality (2.1) is proved.

The inequality (2.2) follows from (2.1) by taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(p, q)=\frac{\Gamma(p) \Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p, q>0$. We shall omit the details.
The following interesting corollaries may be noted as well:
Corollary 2.2. Let $p, m>0$. Then, we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(p, p) B(m, m) \leq B^{2}(p, m) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma^{2}(p+m) \leq \Gamma(2 p) \Gamma(2 m)
$$

Proof. In the above lemma, if we choose $p=q$, and $m=n$, we have $(p-m)(q-n)=(p-m)^{2} \geq 0$, and thus,

$$
B(p, p) B(m, m) \leq B(p, m) B(m, p)
$$

which proves the inequality (2.6).
The inequality (2.7) follows from (2.6) through (2.5).
Corollary 2.3. Given two positive real numbers $u$ and $v>0$, the geometric mean of $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma(v)$ is greater than the gamma of the arithmetic mean of $u$ and $v$.

This result follows by re-writing (2.7) as

$$
\Gamma\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq \sqrt{\Gamma(u) \Gamma(v)}
$$

where $u=2 p$ and $v=2 m$.

## 3. INEQUALITIES FOR MOMENTS OF BETA RANDOM VARIABLES

A distribution function determines a set of moments when they exist. The first moment
about origin, recognized as the mean or center of gravity and the second moment about mean, a measure of the spread or dispersion of the population, are frequently studied parameters of a population. Other properties such as skewness and kurtosis are defined in terms of the higher moments. The $r$-th moment of the r.v. $X$ about the origin is defined by $\mu_{r}(X)=E(X)^{r}$, where $E$ denotes the mathematical expectation. The $r$ - th central moment of the r.v. $X, \mu_{r}(X)$, can be derived from

$$
\mu_{r}(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i}\binom{r}{i} \mu_{r-1}^{\prime}(X) \mu_{1}^{\prime i}(X), r=1,2, \ldots
$$

Now, we present a theorem on the moments of the random variables which follow Beta pdfs.

Theorem 3.1. Let the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ be such that $X \sim B(p, q)$ and $Y \sim B(m, n), p, q, m, n>0$. Further, let the r.v. $U$ and $V$ be defined as $U \sim B(p, n)$ and $V \sim B(m, q)$. Then, for $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(X)^{r} E(Y)^{r}}{E(U)^{r} E(V)^{r}} \geq(\leq) \frac{B(p, n) B(m, q)}{B(p, q) B(m, n)}, r=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In (2.3) of lemma 2.1, we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{p-m}, g(x)=(1-x)^{q-n} \text { and } h(x)=x^{r+m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then , on substituting these mappings in (2.4), we reach at the inequality in Theorem 3.1.
Remark 3.2. The inequalities for the absolute moments of r.v. $X, v_{r}(X)=E|X|^{r}$ and the factorial moments, $\mu_{(r)}^{\prime}(X)=E(X)^{(r)}$, about origin, may be obtained from Theorem 3.1, on replacing $\mu_{r}^{\prime}($.$) by v_{r}($.$) and \mu_{r}^{\prime}($.$) , respectively. Similarly, corresponding inequalities for the$ moment generation functions, $M_{x}(t)=E\left(e^{t x}\right)$, and characteristic function, $\phi_{x}(t)=E\left(e^{i t x}\right)$ may be easily obtained from lemma 2.1.

An interesting result from this theorem follows as :
Corollary 3.3. For $p(=q), m(=n)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(X)^{r} E(Y)^{r}}{E^{r}(U) E^{r}(V)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 p) \Gamma(2 m)}{\Gamma^{2}(p+m)}, r=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. INEQUALITIES FOR MOMENTS OF TWO BETA RANDOM VARIABLES

Theorem 4.1. Let the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ be such that $X \sim B(p, q)$ and $Y \sim B(p, n)$. Then, for $p, q, m, n>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(X)^{r}}{E(Y)^{r}} \geq(\leq) \frac{\Gamma(p+q) \Gamma(m+n)}{\Gamma(p+n) \Gamma(m+q)}, r=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$.
Proof. We choose in (2.3) of lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{r+p-m}, g(x)=(1-x)^{q-n} \text { and } h(x)=x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then , substituting these mappings in (2.4) results in the desired expression in Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. For $q(=p), m(=n)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(X)^{r} \Gamma^{2}(p+n) \leq E(Y)^{r} \Gamma(2 p) \Gamma(2 n), r=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. INEQUALITIES FOR HARMONIC MEANS OF TWO BETA RANDOM VARIABLES

Theorem 5.1. Let the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ be such that $X \sim B(p, q)$ and $Y \sim B(p, n)$. Denote the harmonic means of r.v. $X$ and r.v. $Y$ by $H M(X)=E\left(\frac{1}{X}\right)$ and $H M(Y)=E\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)$. Then, for $p, q, m, n>$ 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H M(X)}{H M(Y)} \geq(\leq) \frac{B(p, n) B(m, q)}{B(p, q) B(m, n)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$.
Proof. We choose in (2.3) of lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{-1+p-m}, g(x)=(1-x)^{q-n} \text { and } h(x)=x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then , substituting these mappings in (2.4) proves Theorem 5.1.
Corollary 5.2. For $q(=p), m(=n)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H M(X)}{H M(Y)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 p) \Gamma(2 n)}{\Gamma^{2}(p+n)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. INEQUALITIES FOR VARIANCES OF TWO BETA RANDOM VARIABLES

Theorem 6.1. Let the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ be such that $X \sim B(p, q)$ and $Y \sim B(p, n)$. Denote the variances of r.v. $X$ and r.v. $Y$ by $V(X)=\mu_{2}^{\prime}(X)-\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(X)$ and $V(Y)=\mu_{2}^{\prime}(Y)-\mu_{1}^{2}(Y)$. Then, for $p, q, m, n>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(X) B(m, n) B(p, q)-V(Y) B(m, q) B(p, n) \geq(\leq) \\
& \frac{B(m, q) B^{2}(p+1, n)}{B(p, n)}-\frac{B(m, n) B^{2}(p+1, q)}{B(p, q)} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

according as $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$.
Proof. We consider the inequality in theorem 4.1 by choosing $r=2$ and rewrite $\mu_{2}^{\prime}($.$) in$ terms of V(.).Then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[V(X)+\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(X)\right] B(m, n) B(p, q) \geq(\leq)\left[V(Y)+\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(Y)\right] B(m, q) B(p, n) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting $\mu_{1}^{\prime}(X)=\frac{p}{p+q}$ and $\mu_{1}^{\prime}(Y)=\frac{p}{p+n}$ in the above expression, we reach at the desired inequality in Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. Denoting coefficients of variation of the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ by $C V(X)$ and $C V(Y)$ where $C V()=.\frac{\sqrt{V(.)}}{\mu_{1}^{\prime}(.)}$, the inequality for $C V(X)$ and $C V(Y)$ follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C V^{2}(X)+1}{C V^{2}(Y)+1} \geq(\leq) \frac{(p+q) \Gamma(m+n) \Gamma(p+q+1)}{(p+n) \Gamma(m+q) \Gamma(p+n+1)} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$.
Proof. From ( 6.1), we have

$$
\frac{\frac{V(X)}{\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(X)}+1}{\frac{V(Y)}{\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(Y)}+1} \geq(\leq) \frac{\Gamma(m+n) \Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(m+q) \Gamma(p+n)} \frac{\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(Y)}{\mu_{1}^{\prime 2}(X)}
$$

Now, substituting $\mu_{1}(X)=\frac{p}{p+q}$ and $\mu_{1}(Y)=\frac{p}{p+n}$, in the above expression, we prove the corollary.

## 7. SOME MORE INEQUALITIES FOR GAMMA FUNCTIONS

We note that the mean and variance of a Beta $r$. $v . Z$ with parameters $u$ and $v$ are $\frac{u}{u+v}$ and $\frac{u v}{(u+v+1)(u+v)^{2}}$, respectively. Then, we have for Beta r.v's. $X$ and $Y$, defined as above,

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(X)=\frac{p}{p+q}, E(Y)=\frac{p}{p+n} \\
V(X)=\frac{p q}{(p+q+1)(p+q)^{2}}, V(Y)=\frac{p n}{(p+n+1)(p+n)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using these values, the inequality (4.1) and (6.3) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(p+n+1) \Gamma(m+q) \geq(\leq) \Gamma(p+q+1) \Gamma(m+n) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(p+n)[q+p(p+q+1)]}{(p+q)[n+p(p+n+1)]} \geq(\leq) \frac{\Gamma(p+q+2) \Gamma(m+n)}{\Gamma(p+n+2) \Gamma(m+q)} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $(p-m)(q-n) \leq(\geq) 0$, where $p, q, m, n>0$.
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