

Examining the utility of advance regeneration for reforestation and timber production in unsalvaged stands killed by the mountain pine beetle: Controlling factors and management implications

Hardy Griesbauer¹ and Scott Green²

Abstract

In unsalvaged stands killed by the mountain pine beetle, the release and growth of shade-tolerant advance regeneration may provide an important reforestation pathway. Stands developing from advance regeneration may restock quickly and provide short- to mid-term harvest opportunities, but the variability in release and growth responses among these stands will create numerous management challenges. This paper reviews and synthesizes relevant scientific literature to suggest some important differences between reforestation from advance regeneration following mountain pine beetle (MPB) attack and conventional reforestation (i.e., planting or natural reforestation following “normal” disturbance events) in regards to stand dynamics and growth. Particular attention is given to the primary traits of advance regeneration that may determine its successional and growth trends following MPB attack, including species composition, abundance and spatial distribution, developmental characteristics, and overall health. Effective management of advance regeneration following MPB attack will require a better understanding of the stand-level conditions and processes that control its growth. As well, management tools such as stocking standards that are suited to managing even-aged forests may need to be re-examined to address the unique conditions of unsalvaged MPB stands.

KEYWORDS: *advance regeneration, mountain pine beetle, reforestation, stand composition, stand dynamics, timber supply.*

Contact Information

- 1 Registered Professional Forester, University of Northern British Columbia, 3333 University Way, Prince George, BC V2N 4Z9. Email: hgriesbauer@hotmail.com
- 2 Assistant Professor, University of Northern British Columbia, 3333 University Way, Prince George, BC V2N 4Z9. Email: greens@unbc.ca

Introduction

Salvage harvesting and planting in stands attacked by mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins; MPB) in British Columbia are widely employed to maximize economic recovery from the current infestation and to quickly reforest the effected areas (Eng 2004; Coates and Hall 2005). Due to economic, operational, ecological, and social constraints, a large portion of the infestation will not be salvaged in the short term, if at all (Eng 2004; Hawkes *et al.* 2004; Pedersen 2004a and 2004b; Stockdale *et al.* 2004; Coates and Hall 2005; Mitchell 2005). The reforestation and productivity of these unsalvaged stands looms as a critical concern (Mitchell 2005) with predictions of an impending mid-term timber supply shortage.

Silviculture treatments (including site preparation, planting, and thinning) may be necessary to ensure timely restocking of unsalvaged MPB-attacked stands. Operational and economic barriers to these treatments may limit their widespread use (Mitchell 2005), and many stands will be left to regenerate naturally. The release of existing advance regeneration in these stands may provide an important pathway in addressing future timber-supply shortages (Veblen *et al.* 1991; Archibald and Arnup 1993; Oliver and Larson 1996; Puttonen and Vyse 1998; Greene *et al.* 1999; Coates 2006). *However, a reliance on advance regeneration following MPB attack may have important and unknown implications on portions of the timber harvesting land base.* Stands developing from advance regeneration following MPB attack may be quite different than managed forests or forests originating after other types of disturbances (Burton 2006), and these differences should be incorporated into management objectives and timber-supply predictions. This paper reviews and synthesizes relevant literature to suggest how stands developing from advance regeneration after MPB attack may be unique in species composition, abundance and spatial distribution of regeneration, developmental traits of regeneration, and health and long-term viability of regeneration. Particular attention is given to potential implications of MPB disturbance on stand development, yields, and forest management practices in unsalvaged stands.

Given the lack of specific information on stand development following MPB epidemics of the current scale, observations from similar stand-releasing disturbances (e.g., windthrow, partial cutting, intermediate-utilization logging, other bark-beetle outbreaks) that mimic stand-level MPB impacts may provide insight into

Stands developing from advance regeneration following MPB attack may be quite different than managed forests or forests originating after other types of disturbances, and these differences should be incorporated into management objectives and timber-supply predictions.

post-attack stand dynamics and advance regeneration development. Such analogous studies are incorporated into this discussion.

Natural Reforestation Pathways Following Mountain Pine Beetle Attack

Natural forest regeneration following disturbance typically occurs along two pathways (which can co-occur):

1. the reorganization of existing vegetation (e.g., advance regeneration), and (or)
2. the recruitment of new vegetation (McCarthy 2001).

Epidemic bark-beetle infestations have been traditionally viewed as “stand-releasing” disturbances that favour the former pathway, with existing understorey trees and vegetation being released from suppressing canopy cover to form the new forest (Veblen *et al.* 1991; Oliver and Larson 1996; Greene *et al.* 1999; Hawkes *et al.* 2004; Schulze *et al.* 2005). Stand-releasing disturbances generally do not favour the establishment of new seedlings. Where understorey vegetation exists (either tree advance regeneration or non-tree vegetation), its development following stand-releasing events can limit the recruitment of new tree seedlings through competitive exclusion (Wickman *et al.* 1986; Cole *et al.* 1999; Webb and Scanga 2001; Wohlgemuth *et al.* 2002; Shepperd *et al.* 2004; van Hees 2005), sometimes restricting the recruitment of new tree regeneration for many decades (Cole *et al.* 1999; Wohlgemuth *et al.* 2002). As well, some stand-releasing disturbances do not create suitable conditions (e.g., seedbed, understorey light) for seedling germination and establishment (Oliver and Larson 1996; Mitchell 2005). In MPB-attacked stands with limited advance regeneration, natural reforestation through new seedling establishment may be highly

variable (Mitchell 2005); such stands should be identified for possible silviculture interventions to ensure timely restocking (Coates and Hall 2005; Mitchell 2005).

Advance Regeneration in Stand Development After Disturbance

Lodgepole pine has among the widest ecological amplitudes of any conifer in British Columbia (Klinka *et al.* 2000), and it can occur as a dominant seral species under a wide range of climatic and edaphic environments in the province. The greatest residual abundance of advance regeneration following MPB attack likely occurs in stands in which pine is a seral species. Where advance regeneration exists, its growth following MPB attack should increase in most stands in response to the enhanced availability of primary resources (i.e., light, moisture, and nutrients) associated with canopy death and breakup. In the absence of subsequent disturbances (e.g., fire or harvesting), this understorey tree cohort should form a major component of the next forest stand (Veblen *et al.* 1991; Coates *et al.* 1994; Oliver and Larson 1996). The successional patterns and processes of stands forming from advance regeneration remain largely unknown, however, as most studies have focussed on their early developmental characteristics (Messier *et al.* 1999). As such, a gap in understanding remains about succession and growth in stands of advance-regeneration origin, from establishment up to full maturity or rotation, particularly as it relates to anomalous disturbances (e.g., large-scale MPB attack) that can create unpredictable conditions.

Existing literature suggests that development and yields of stands originating from advance regeneration following MPB attack will be variable. Stands with healthy, vigorous, and well-spaced advance regeneration may develop quickly after MPB attack (given sufficient release) and become merchantable within 40–80 years (Coates and Hall 2005; Coates 2006). Archibald and Arnup (1993) suggested that stands with well-stocked advance regeneration might have yields similar to fire-origin stands under some conditions, decreasing rotation ages by up to 30 years. Other studies suggest low to modest yields in stands of advance-regeneration origin. Veblen *et al.* (1991) found that high-elevation stands developing from advance-regeneration subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* [Hook.] Nutt.) and Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry) had basal areas ranging from 20 to 37 m² per hectare almost 50 years after release by spruce bark beetle (*Dendroctonus rufipennis* Kirby), compared to basal areas of 42–56 m² per

hectare in undisturbed stands. Schulze *et al.* (2005) noted that true fir and spruce advance regeneration was dense, but was mostly distributed in the 5–10 cm diameter class 40–60 years after a bark-beetle outbreak. Partial-cutting treatments (with residual live-canopy trees) that employ advance regeneration for stocking may mimic stand-releasing conditions following MPB attack. Puttonen and Vyse (1998) found that advance regeneration averaged only 7–9 m tall 40–45 years after release in partial-cut stands in the Engelmann Spruce–Subalpine Fir and Interior Cedar–Hemlock biogeoclimatic zones (Meidinger and Pojar 1991).

Uncertainty regarding the growth of released advance regeneration in unsalvaged MPB-attacked stands will challenge the capacity of forest practitioners to set management objectives and predict future yields and harvests (Messier *et al.* 1999), at least relative to even-aged stands of silvicultural origin or natural reforestation after fire. Some of the primary factors controlling the response of advance regeneration to release following MPB attack (discussed below) include species composition, abundance and spatial distribution, developmental traits of the trees (i.e., height–age relationships), and general health and long-term viability.

Species Composition of Advance Regeneration in Stand Development Following Mountain Pine Beetle Attack

Where advance regeneration is being employed to address timber-supply gaps, tree species composition of regenerating MPB-attacked stands must be considered in the context of market demands (Shepperd *et al.* 2004) and industry-processing capacities. Whereas stands of fire origin tend to be colonized by fast-growing pioneer species, stands of advance-regeneration origin tend to form from more shade-tolerant species (Oliver and Larson 1996). Within the range of the current MPB infestation, common advance-regeneration species include Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirbel) Franco), interior spruce (*Picea glauca* Voss × *P. engelmannii* Parry), and subalpine fir (Coates *et al.* 1994;

Existing literature suggests that development and yields of stands originating from advance regeneration following MPB attack will be variable.

Mitchell 2005). Even under canopies dominated by other species, these species may be found in high densities because of their tolerance of low-light conditions (Veblen *et al.* 1991; Kneeshaw and Burton 1997; Kobe and Coates 1997; Shepperd *et al.* 2004) and their ability to germinate on undisturbed forest floors (Burton *et al.* 2000). Shade-intolerant species, such as lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl.), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.), or paper birch (*Betula papyrifera* Marsh.), may be found within the advance-regeneration cohort in uneven-aged stands on edaphically limiting sites (Stuart *et al.* 1989; Williams *et al.* 1999; Kneeshaw *et al.* 2002; Hawkes *et al.* 2004; Daintith *et al.* 2005), or associated with large canopy gaps (McCarthy 2001).

Following overstorey death, the growth responses of understorey trees will vary between co-occurring species. Strong release responses (i.e., large growth increases) have been observed in more shade-tolerant species, such as spruce and subalpine fir, following windstorm or insect disturbance (Groot 1984; Doucet 1988; McCaughey and Ferguson 1988), even after prolonged suppression (Alexander 1984; Kneeshaw *et al.* 1998; Antos *et al.* 2000). Shade-tolerant trees can adjust their crown physiology and structure in response to different light regimes, which makes them well adapted to shaded understoreys and highly responsive to incremental increases in light following disturbance (Messier *et al.* 1999; McCarthy 2001). Understorey subalpine fir and spruce were found to release well following a spruce bark-beetle epidemic with sustained growth for over 40 years, possibly up to 100 years in some cases (Veblen *et al.* 1991). True firs generally release faster following light changes than co-occurring species such as spruce (Doucet and Boily 1995); in some studies, however, species such as spruce have been shown to exhibit more sustained release (McCaughy and Schmidt 1982; Boily and Doucet 1993).

Conversely, shade-intolerant species (where present in the advance-regeneration cohort) will likely exhibit relatively poorer release responses compared to shade-tolerant species following MPB attack due to their more fixed crown physiology and structure (Messier *et al.* 1999; Williams *et al.* 1999) and more lingering effects of prolonged suppression (Gavrikov and Sekretenko 1996; Wright *et al.* 2000; Kneeshaw *et al.* 2002). If management targets seek to utilize shade-intolerant advance regeneration to form future tree crops on some sites, it will be critical for forest managers to assess the potential of these trees to release and achieve adequate growth.

Release differences between shade-tolerant and shade-intolerant trees may be amplified by residual

stand structure after MPB attack. Coates and Hall (2005) estimated that understorey light in MPB-attacked stands might remain quite stable for up to 5 years following canopy death due to residual crown structure. They further suggest that pine snags will continue to shade these understoreys for up to 10 years. Large numbers of snags may remain standing on attacked sites for 15 years or longer (Veblen *et al.* 1991; Lewis and Hartley 2005; Schulze *et al.* 2005). Shade-tolerant species should better utilize the gradual increases in light levels likely to follow MPB attack compared to shade-intolerant trees (Messier *et al.* 1999; McCarthy 2001; Krasowski and Wang 2003). The residual shading of snags and live-canopy trees should limit the expansion of aggressive, non-tree vegetation (Lieffers and Stadt 1994; Ricard and Messier 1996; Krasowski and Wang 2003; Kurulok and McDonald 2004), which could otherwise suppress advance regeneration after MPB disturbance (Bassman *et al.* 1992; Stone and Wolfe 1996).

Generally, MPB disturbance will favour the release of shade-tolerant species over shade-intolerant species, which may result in the rapid conversion of stands from lodgepole pine to more shade-tolerant conifers. In stands with mixed-species advance regeneration, competitive interactions between species may direct stand dynamics and growth following MPB attack. For example, Shepperd *et al.* (2004) found that subalpine fir formed dense thickets in pine forest understoreys in Colorado, restricting the growth of co-occurring spruce and pine advance regeneration. In such a scenario, the species composition of the advance-regeneration cohort may need to be manipulated to promote faster growth and release of target species, if spruce and pine are preferred species compared to subalpine fir.

Abundance and Spatial Distribution of Advance Regeneration in Stand Development Following Mountain Pine Beetle Attack

Low densities and (or) clumpy distributions of advance regeneration associated with stand and site conditions may be common in MPB-attacked stands (Figures 1 and 2; Bella 1983; Stuart *et al.* 1989; Weetman and Vyse 1990; Arnup 1996; Kneeshaw and Bergeron 1996; Puttonen and Vyse 1998; McCarthy 2001; Parish and Antos 2005). This raises concerns about the extent of silviculture interventions (e.g., thinning and planting) which may be required to ensure adequate and well-spaced stocking under current reforestation requirements (Archibald and Arnup 1993; Shepperd *et al.* 2004). Although pure pine stands comprise a minority of the land base currently under MPB attack (Burton 2006), these stands will



FIGURE 1. A degree of spatial variation in advance regeneration commonly occurs over short distances in understories and will result in non-uniform stocking of many stands. Both photographs were taken at the same location by pivoting the camera 90°. Photograph (a) shows high densities of clumped seedlings and saplings. Photograph (b) shows large areas of unstocked understorey.



FIGURE 2. This photograph shows a common stocking characteristic of advance regeneration in many mature stands in which high densities of seedlings and saplings are clumped in one area. These pockets of regeneration may require thinning to meet management objectives.

endure the most severe impacts of the beetle infestation. Advance regeneration can be infrequent under pure pine stands unless significant canopy gaps exist (Stuart *et al.* 1989; Mitchell 2005). In the absence of adequate advance regeneration, special reforestation interventions may be required. The abundance of advance regeneration should generally increase with greater diversity in overstorey tree species (Arnup 1996; Greene *et al.* 1999).

Inventories of advance regeneration in the area affected by MPB are generally lacking (Burton 2006); however, some studies suggest that relatively high

densities of advance regeneration are fairly common. Coates (2006) found that 30–45% of sample plots contained densities of advance regeneration (seedlings and saplings) above 700 stems per hectare in pine-leading, MPB-killed stands in the Sub-Boreal Spruce zone. Burton (2006) described a study that found 41% of forest stands or strata with greater than 80% lodgepole pine by volume had conifer-regeneration densities of over 600 stems per hectare. A partial-cutting study in the Interior Douglas-fir zone by Kaipainen *et al.* (1998) found that total advance-regeneration densities under mixed canopies of lodgepole pine and Douglas-fir were above 400 stems per hectare.

Total stem densities of advance regeneration may not necessarily indicate “sufficient stocking.” Non-uniform spatial patterns (i.e., clumping), which often characterize advance regeneration in natural forests, are a result of both stand dynamics (e.g., gap-forming processes) and stand structure (e.g., nurse logs, exposed mineral soil on tip-up mounds) (Weetman and Vyse 1990; Arnup 1996; Puttonen and Vyse 1998). Clumpy distributions can result in low overall stand occupancy even when stem densities are high, leading to reduced release potential because of competitive stress (Oliver and Larson 1996), and diminished timber yields (Smith 1988). Clumping can also affect traits important for future stand regeneration, such as seed production (Daniel *et al.* 1979). Management goals that target timber production may need to consider interventions to address clumping issues in some cases. For instance, dense thickets of subalpine fir may require thinning to promote crown expansion and rapid release of target trees (Oliver and Larson 1996).

***Tree Age–Height Relationships in Advance
Regeneration in Stand Development
Following Mountain Pine Beetle Attack***

Because of the complex interactions in age–height relationships, the size of advance-regeneration trees may not indicate their release potentials in MPB-attacked stands. Studies examining the release of advance regeneration have observed conflicting patterns in trees at different developmental stages. Superior release responses in advance regeneration were observed in smaller trees (Givnish 1988; Oliver and Larson 1996; Claveau *et al.* 2002), larger trees (McCaughey and Schmidt 1982; Peterson and Pickett 1995; Webb and Scanga 2001), younger trees (Oliver and Stephens 1977; Ferguson and Adams 1980; Helms and Standiford 1985), or without any age dependence (Johnstone 1978; Boily and Doucet 1993; Puttonen and Vyse 1998). This suggests complex condition-specific responses. The age and size distribution within the advance-regeneration cohort may vary widely in any given stand, resulting in non-uniform release responses following MPB attack. Consequently, some stands developing from advance regeneration following MPB attack may be characterized by structures and distributions that become increasingly complex and uneven-sized over time. Accurate growth and yield predictions in such stands will likely be difficult, especially compared to even-aged managed forests where regeneration cohorts display relatively uniform size and growth over the rotation.

It may be possible to identify biologically based indicators to assess the release potentials of advance regeneration at different developmental stages. Ruel *et al.* (2000) suggested that age–height growth relationships may be controlled by tree live crown, which has been shown as a good indicator for release potential (Helms and Standiford 1985; Tesch *et al.* 1993; Ruel *et al.* 1995). Other potential tree release indicators may include pre-release height growth, height-to-diameter ratios, the degree of internodal and nodal branching, and the degree of apical control (McCaughey and Ferguson 1988; Murphy *et al.* 1999; Ruel *et al.* 2000). Additional consideration of potential release indicators for advance regeneration can be found in Ruel *et al.* (2000).

***Health and Long-term Viability of Advance
Regeneration in Stand Development
Following Mountain Pine Beetle Attack***

The *initial health and vigour* of advance regeneration will directly influence its release potential in MPB-attacked stands. In some conditions, advance-regeneration trees in MPB-attacked stands will have poor vigour and form,

rendering them unacceptable for timber objectives. Rakochy (2005, as cited by Mitchell 2005) found that only about 50% of advance regeneration (all species) could be categorized as healthy within sample plots in MPB-killed stands. Kaipainen *et al.* (1998) obtained similar results in an advance-regeneration study in the Interior Douglas-fir zone. In addition, some species that will compose a major portion of the advance-regeneration cohort in many MPB-attacked stands (e.g., subalpine fir) may be particularly susceptible to health problems during stand development (Herring 1977; Weetman and Vyse 1990; Ruel *et al.* 2000).

Furthermore, the *long-term health and vigour* of released advance regeneration, which will determine its commercial value, may be diminished by stand-level processes resulting from MPB attack. Physical damage to advance regeneration may occur as large quantities of branches and tree stems begin falling into the understorey during stand deterioration following MPB attack. Such damage can result in diminished tree form and health for advance regeneration, although studies have observed conflicting responses of advance regeneration to logging damage (which may provide a good analog for physical damage related to MPB-stand breakup). Damage can also result in disease and subsequent stem decay, a factor that may be especially prevalent in advance-regeneration subalpine fir (Weetman and Vyse 1990; Ruel *et al.* 2000), though studies have found conflicting results. Studies show both diminished health and vigour (Herring 1977; Weetman and Vyse 1990; Tesch *et al.* 1993) and resilient recovery (Gordon 1973; McCaughey and Schmidt 1982; Tesch *et al.* 1993; Ruel *et al.* 1995) in advance-regeneration responses to logging damage for many of the key species that constitute understorey cohorts in MPB-attacked stands. Overall, little is known about advance-regeneration response to physical wounds (Ruel *et al.* 2000), and this represents an important gap in our understanding of the reforestation of MPB-killed stands.

Additionally, the long-term health and vigour of advance regeneration may be indirectly influenced by MPB attack through changes in hydrological cycling on some sites (Rex and Dubé 2006). The death of overstorey trees reduces evapotranspiration and precipitation interception (Hélie *et al.* 2005; Rex and Dubé 2006), which can increase soil moisture. On moist sites, anaerobic soil conditions may develop (Oliver and Larson 1996), potentially leading to reduced vigour and (or) increased mortality in advance regeneration (Kozlowski *et al.* 1991).

Management Implications

The utilization of advance regeneration for reforestation and timber production in MPB-attacked stands may entail some important management implications worth considering.

Stocking Criteria

Current reforestation stocking standards may be hard to achieve within the advance-regeneration cohort in MPB-attacked stands because of undesirable species compositions and irregular spacing. Some accommodations in stocking standards may be required to promote the utilization of advance regeneration for reforestation in appropriate MPB-attacked stands.

Species Composition

Some advance-regeneration species (e.g., subalpine fir) are not considered preferred or acceptable in certain subzones (Weetman and Vyse 1990) where they may dominate the understories. Currently, forest managers must consider the consequences of either accepting these species or undertaking expensive silviculture interventions (e.g., planting, thinning) to manipulate species composition in unsalvaged, MPB-attacked stands.

Tree Spacing

The implications of advance-regeneration spatial patterns in MPB-attacked stands on timber-yield predictions need to be better understood. Although total densities of advance regeneration in some MPB-attacked stands may indicate adequate stocking, poor spatial distributions may cause release responses to be low. Currently, forest managers must consider the consequences of correcting stocking within the advance-regeneration cohort (e.g., planting and [or] thinning) to meet well-spaced stocking requirements, which may not be economically and (or) operationally feasible in many unsalvaged, MPB-attacked stands.

Growth and Yield

Potential yields in stands of advance-regeneration origin may be modest compared to even-aged managed forests. To maximize productivity in these stands, forest managers might consider a range of options. Stands with sufficient healthy advance regeneration may become merchantable relatively quickly (Archibald and Arnup 1993; Puttonen and Vyse 1998; Coates 2006). However, because of the complexities of advance-regeneration response to disturbance, many stands may require silviculture interventions, such as planting or thinning

to increase yields and (or) reduce rotation length. Stand fertilization may also be useful in increasing understorey tree growth and reducing rotation length following MPB attack. This treatment is effective at releasing dense fire-origin stands of height-suppressed lodgepole pine (e.g., Newsome and Perry 2003). Fertilization may be particularly well suited to cohorts of suppressed lodgepole pine advance regeneration that may exhibit delayed or diminished growth response after release. The feasibility of this treatment for advance regeneration should be investigated.

Mixed-species stands with live canopy trees after attack may provide unique opportunities for partial cutting and uneven-aged silviculture. Heath and Alfaro (1990) found that volume losses from MPB-killed pine could be partially offset by an increase in radial growth by dominant Douglas-fir. Cole and Amman (1980) found similar results for spruce and Douglas-fir stand recovery after MPB outbreaks in Wyoming and Idaho. Delaying harvesting (Hawkes *et al.* 2004) or selectively harvesting only attacked pine in these stands may result in stand-level growth increases that will partially offset volume losses attributable to MPB.

Given the possible management options available to forest practitioners, growth and yield predictions in complex stands with advance regeneration will present a significant knowledge gap. The inherent complexity of advance regeneration (in species abundance or composition, development, health, and degree of release) and the scale of the current MPB infestation may significantly diminish the utility of models (Kimmins *et al.* 2005) for predicting stand growth and yield. Rather, forest managers may be forced to make stand-level decisions (Kimmins *et al.* 2005) to identify conditions with sufficient, well-distributed, and healthy advance regeneration that can be expected to release and develop into a merchantable harvest within a reasonable time frame. The current MPB outbreak provides a unique opportunity to establish permanent sample plots in unsalvaged stands, which should enhance our understanding of regeneration and stand dynamics in complex conditions following large, stand-releasing disturbances.

Operational Limitations

Where stands of advance-regeneration origin are assessed as understocked or requiring some management to maximize productivity, the implications of stand conditions and limitations must be considered. Planting may be needed on sites with low or patchy stocking of advance regeneration, which may be prohibitively expensive due,

in part, to unique safety issues created by MPB attack. Residual snags will likely require removal from the stand to ensure worker safety and to create suitable planting microsites. The non-timber value of these snags must be balanced against the range of management objectives. Vegetation competition may become a critical limiting factor after site preparation or once the snags have fallen. Given its expense and difficulty, planting may be a silviculture treatment best reserved for productive sites with low advance regeneration.

Dense thickets of advance regeneration may require thinning to control species composition or inter-tree competition. Again, the presence of residual snags will limit the ability to work safely in these stands, and removal of these snags will have to be considered carefully from an economic and ecological perspective for all potential stand treatments, including harvesting (either partial cutting or complete salvage). Finally, residual snags and fallen stems from MPB-attacked trees in unsalvaged stands may create operational access and safety limitations at rotational harvest of the advance regeneration, particularly on mature, productive sites where the best advance regeneration may occur.

Conclusions

British Columbia's impending mid-term timber supply shortage in the wake of the MPB infestation will increase pressure to maximize production on the timber harvesting land base. Forest managers must carefully consider how to manage unsalvaged MPB-killed stands, which may contribute significantly to future timber supplies and other non-timber values. The production potential of advance regeneration following MPB attack and its potential contribution to mid-term timber supplies will be highly variable due to complex interactions among primary factors that are poorly understood (e.g., species composition, abundance and spacing, developmental characteristics, general health and vigour, and the degree of release following MPB attack). Unsalsvaged MPB-killed stands and their characteristics will force managers to consider unique and specific options that best reflect the condition of a stand and balance social, ecological, and economic values.

Acknowledgements

The research and synthesis conducted for this paper was funded by a grant from the B.C. Forest Science Board (Mountain Pine Beetle Engineering and Operational Project M065004). The authors would like to thank Gretchen Prystawik, RPF and three anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on the manuscript.

Unsalvaged MPB-killed stands and their characteristics will force managers to consider unique and specific options that best reflect the condition of a stand and balance social, ecological, and economic values.

References

- Alexander, R.R. 1984. Natural regeneration of Engelmann spruce after clearcutting in the central Rocky Mountains in relation to environmental factors. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo. Research Paper RM-254.
- Antos, J.A., R. Parish, and K. Conley. 2000. Age structure and growth of the tree-seedling bank in subalpine spruce-fir forests of south-central British Columbia. *American Midland Naturalist* 143:342–354.
- Archibald, D.J. and R.W. Arnup. 1993. The management of black spruce advance growth in northeastern Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Timmins, Ont. VMAP Technical Report No. 93-05.
- Arnup, R.W. 1996. Site and stand factors influencing the abundance and distribution of coniferous advance growth in northeastern Ontario Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ont. Northern Ontario Development Agreement (NODA) Note No. 26.
- Bassman, J.H., J.C. Zwier, J.R. Olson, and J.D. Newberry. 1992. Growth of advance regeneration in response to residual overstory treatment in northern Idaho. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 7:78–81.
- Bella, I.E. 1983. Natural lodgepole pine in west-central Alberta. Part 1: Regeneration stocking. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oreg. General Technical Report PNW-157.
- Boily, J. and R. Doucet. 1993. Croissance juvénile de marcottes d'épinette noire en régénération après récolte du couvert dominant. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23:1396–1401.
- Burton, P.J. 2006. Restoration of forests attacked by mountain pine beetle: Misnomer, misdirected, or

- must-do? *BC Journal of Ecosystems and Management* 7(2):1–10. URL: http://www.forrex.org/publications/jem/ISS35/vol7_no2_art1.pdf
- Burton, P.J., D.C. Sutherland, N.M. Daintith, M.J. Waterhouse, and T.A. Newsome. 2000. Factors influencing the density of natural regeneration in uniform shelterwoods dominated by Douglas-fir in the Sub-Boreal Spruce Zone. B.C. Ministry of Forests, Research Branch, Victoria, B.C. Working Paper No. 47.
- Claveau, Y., C. Messier, P.G. Comeau, and K.D. Coates. 2002. Growth and crown morphological responses of boreal conifer seedlings and saplings with contrasting shade tolerance to a gradient of light and height. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32:458–468.
- Coates, K.D. 2006. Silvicultural approaches to managing MPB damaged stands: Regeneration and mid-term timber supply. Presentation at the Northern Silviculture Committee Winter Workshop: Silviculture tactics to lessen the downfall. Prince George, B.C. January 16–18, 2006.
- Coates, K.D. and E.C. Hall. 2005. Implications of alternative silvicultural strategies in mountain pine beetle damaged stands. Bulkley Valley Centre for Natural Resources Research and Management, Smithers, B.C. Technical Report for Forest Science Project Y051161.
- Coates, K.D., S. Haeussler, S. Lindeburgh, R. Pojar, and A.J. Stock. 1994. Ecology and silviculture of interior spruce in British Columbia B.C. Ministry of Forests, Victoria, B.C. FRDA Report No. 220.
- Cole, E.C., M. Newton, and A. Youngblood. 1999. Regenerating white spruce, paper birch, and willow in south-central Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29:993–1001.
- Cole, W.E. and G.E. Amman. 1980. Mountain pine beetle dynamics in lodgepole pine forests. Part 1: Course of an infestation. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah. General Technical Report INT-89.
- Daintith, N.M., M.J. Waterhouse, and H.M. Armleder. 2005. Seedling response following partial cutting in lodgepole pine forests on caribou winter range in west-central British Columbia. *Forestry Chronicle* 81:409–417.
- Daniel, T.W., J.A. Helms, and F.S. Baker. 1979. Principles of silviculture. 2nd edition McGraw-Hill Inc., Toronto, Ont.
- Doucet, R. 1988. La régénération préétablie dans les peuplements forestiers naturels au Québec. *Forestry Chronicle* 64:116–120.
- Doucet, R. and J. Boily. 1995. Croissance en hauteur de la régénération d'épinette noir et de sapin baumier après la coupe. Ministère des ressources naturelles du Québec, Direction de la Recherche Forestière, Sainte-Foy, Que. Forest Research Note No. 68.
- Eng, M. 2004. Forest stewardship in the context of large-scale salvage operations: An interpretation paper. B.C. Ministry of Forests and Range, Research Branch, Victoria, B.C. Technical Report No. 019. URL: <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Tr/Tr019.pdf>
- Ferguson, D.E. and D.L. Adams. 1980. Response of advance grand fir regeneration to overstory removal in northern Idaho. *Forest Science* 26:537–545.
- Gavrikov, V.L. and O.P. Sekretenko. 1996. Shoot-based three-dimensional model of young Scots pine growth. *Ecological Modelling* 88:183–193.
- Givnish, T.J. 1988. Adaptation to sun and shade: A whole-plant perspective. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 15:63–92.
- Gordon, D.T. 1973. Released advance reproduction of white fir and red fir: Effects on growth, damage, and mortality. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Berkeley, Calif. Research Paper PSW-95.
- Greene, D.F., J.C. Zasada, L. Sirois, D. Kneeshaw, H. Morin, I. Charron, and M.-J. Simard. 1999. A review of the regeneration dynamics of North American boreal forest tree species. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29:824–839.
- Groot, A. 1984. Stand and site conditions associated with abundance of black spruce advanced growth in the northern Clay Belt section of Ontario Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ont. Information Report O-X-358.
- Hawkes, B.C., S.W. Taylor, C. Stockdale, T.L. Shore, R.I. Alfaro, R.A. Campbell, and P. Vera. 2004. Impact of mountain pine beetle on stand dynamics in British Columbia. *In* Mountain pine beetle symposium: Challenges and solutions, October 30–31, 2003, Kelowna, B.C. T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone (editors). Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Information Report BC-X-399. pp. 177–199.
- Heath, R. and R. Alfaro. 1990. Growth response in a Douglas-fir/lodgepole pine stand after thinning of lodgepole pine by the mountain pine beetle. *Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia* 87:16–21.

- Helms, J.A. and R.B. Standiford. 1985. Predicting release of advance reproduction of mixed conifer species in California following overstory removal. *Forest Science* 31:3–15.
- Herring, L.J. 1977. Studies of advance subalpine fir in Kamloops Forest District. B.C. Ministry of Forests, Victoria, B.C. Research Note No. 80.
- Hélie, J.F., D.L. Peters, K.R. Tattrie, and J.J. Gibson. 2005. Review and synthesis of potential hydrologic impacts of mountain pine beetle and related harvesting activities in British Columbia. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Mountain Pine Beetle Initiative Working Paper 2005-23. URL: <http://warehouse.pfc.forestry.ca/pfc/25684.pdf>
- Johnstone, W.D. 1978. Growth of fire and spruce advance growth and logging residuals following logging in west central Alberta. Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forest Research Centre, Alta. NOR-X-203.
- Kaipainen, J., P. Puttonen, and A. Vyse. 1998. Advanced regeneration of interior Douglas-fir forests following uniform partial-cut and small patch-cut logging at Opax Mountain. In *Managing the dry Douglas-fir forests of the southern interior: Workshop proceedings*. A. Vyse, C. Hollstedt, and D. Huggard (editors). B.C. Ministry of Forests, Research Branch, Victoria, B.C. Working Paper 34. pp. 149–160. URL: <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Wp/Wp34-3.pdf>
- Kimmins, J.P., B. Seely, C. Welham, and A. Zhong. 2005. Possible forest futures: Balancing biological and social risks in mountain pine beetle epidemics. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Mountain Pine Beetle Initiative Working Paper 2005-11. URL: <http://warehouse.pfc.forestry.ca/pfc/25507.pdf>
- Kneeshaw, D.D. and P.J. Burton. 1997. Canopy and age structures of some old sub-boreal *Picea* stands in British Columbia. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 8:615–626.
- Kneeshaw, D.D. and Y. Bergeron. 1996. Ecological factors affecting the abundance of advance regeneration in Québec's southwestern boreal forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 26:888–898.
- Kneeshaw, D.D., H. Williams, E. Nikinmaa, and C. Messier. 2002. Patterns of above- and below-ground response of understory conifer release 6 years after partial cutting. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32:255–265.
- Kneeshaw, D.D., Y. Bergeron, and L. DeGrandpré. 1998. Early response of *Abies balsamea* seedlings to artificially created openings. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 9:543–550.
- Kobe, R.K. and K.D. Coates. 1997. Models of sapling mortality as a function of growth to characterize interspecific variation in shade tolerance of eight tree species of northwestern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 27:227–236.
- Kozłowski, T.T., P.J. Kramer, and S.G. Pallardy. 1991. *The physiological ecology of woody plants*. Academic Press, Toronto, Ont.
- Krasowski, M.J. and J.R. Wang. 2003. Aboveground growth responses of understory *Abies lasiocarpa* saplings to different release cuts. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 33:1593–1601.
- Kurulok, S. and E. MacDonald. 2004. Impacts of post-fire salvage logging on tree regeneration and plant communities in the mixedwood boreal forest of Alberta. Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alta.
- Lewis, K.J. and I. Hartley. 2005. Rate of deterioration, degrade and fall of trees killed by mountain pine beetle: A synthesis of the literature and experiential knowledge. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Mountain Pine Beetle Initiative Working Paper 2005-14. URL: <http://warehouse.pfc.forestry.ca/pfc/25483.pdf>
- Lieffers, V.J. and K.J. Stadt. 1994. Growth of understory *Picea glauca*, *Calamagrostis canadensis*, and *Epilobium angustifolium* in relation to overstory light transmission. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 24:1193–1198.
- McCarthy, J. 2001. Gap dynamics of forest trees: A review with particular attention to boreal forests. *Environmental Reviews* 9:1–59.
- McCaughey, W.W. and D.E. Ferguson. 1988. Response of advance regeneration to release in the inland mountain west: A summary. In *Future forests of the mountain west: A stand culture symposium*. W.C. Schmidt (editor). U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Missoula, Mont. General Technical Report INT-243. pp. 255–266.
- McCaughey, W.W. and W.C. Schmidt. 1982. Understory tree release following harvest cutting in spruce-fir forests of intermountain west. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Missoula, Mont. Research Note INT-285.
- Meidinger, D. and J. Pojar. (editors) 1991. *Ecosystems of British Columbia*. B.C. Ministry of Forests. Victoria, B.C.

- Special Report Series No. 6. URL: <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Srs/Srseries.htm>
- Messier, C., R. Doucet, J. Ruel, Y. Claveau, C. Kelly, and M.J. Lechowicz. 1999. Functional ecology of advance regeneration in relation to light in boreal forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29:812–823.
- Mitchell, J. 2005. Review and synthesis of regeneration methods in beetle-killed stands following mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) attack: A literature review. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Mountain Pine Beetle Initiative Working Paper 2005-16. URL: <http://warehouse.pfc.forestry.ca/pfc/25508.pdf>
- Murphy, T.E.L., D.L. Adams, and D.E. Ferguson. 1999. Response of advance lodgepole pine regeneration to overstory removal in eastern Idaho. *Forest Ecology and Management* 120:235–244.
- Newsome, T.A. and J.L. Perry. 2003. Stand-tending and rehabilitation treatment options for 36-year-old, height-repressed lodgepole pine. B.C. Ministry of Forests, Research Branch, Victoria, B.C. Technical Report No. 007. URL: <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/Docs/Tr/Tr007.pdf>
- Oliver, C. and B. Larson. 1996. *Forest stand dynamics*. Update edition. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, N.Y.
- Oliver, C.D. and E.P. Stephens. 1977. Reconstruction of a mixed species forest in central New England. *Ecology* 58:562–572.
- Parish, R. and J.A. Antos. 2005. Advanced regeneration and seedling establishment in small cutblocks in high-elevation spruce–fir forest at Sicamous Creek, southern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 35:1877–1888.
- Pedersen, L. 2004a. Expedited timber supply review for the Lakes, Prince George, and Quesnel Timber Supply Areas. B.C. Ministry of Forests and Range, Victoria, B.C. Public Discussion Paper. URL: http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/tsa/PDP_TSAs_14-24-26.pdf
- _____. 2004b. How serious is the mountain pine beetle problem? From a timber supply perspective. *In* Mountain pine beetle symposium: Challenges and solutions, October 30–31, 2003, Kelowna, B.C. T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone (editors). Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Information Report BC-X-399. pp. 10–18.
- Peterson, C.J. and S.T.A. Pickett. 1995. Forest reorganization: A case study in an old-growth forest catastrophic blowdown. *Ecology* 76:763–774.
- Puttonen, P. and A. Vyse. 1998. Development of high elevation Engelmann Spruce–Subalpine Fir stands established using advanced regeneration. *In* Ecosystem dynamics and silviculture systems in Interior Wet-belt Engelmann Spruce–Subalpine fir and Interior Cedar–Hemlock forests. M. Jull, D. Coxson, S. Stevenson, D. Lousier, and M. Walters (editors). University of Northern British Columbia. Prince George, B.C. pp. 44–45.
- Rex, J. and S. Dubé. 2006. Predicting the risk of wet ground areas in the Vanderhoof Forest District: Project description and progress report. *BC Journal of Ecosystems and Management* 7(2):57–71. URL: http://www.forrex.org/publications/jem/ISS35/vol7_no2_art7.pdf
- Ricard, J.-P. and C. Messier. 1996. Abundance, growth and allometry of red raspberry (*Rubus ideaeus* L.) along a natural light gradient in a northern hardwood forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 81:153–160.
- Ruel, J.-C., C. Messier, R. Doucet, Y. Claveau, and P. Comeau. 2000. Morphological indicators of response to overstory removal for boreal conifer trees. *Forestry Chronicle* 76:633–642.
- Ruel, J.-C., R. Doucet, and J. Boily. 1995. Mortality of balsam fir and black spruce advance growth 3 years after clear-cutting. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 25:1528–1537.
- Schulze, E.-D., C. Wirth, D. Mollicone, and W. Ziegler. 2005. Succession after stand replacing disturbances by fire, wind throw, and insects in the dark taiga of Central Siberia. *Oecologia* 146:77–88.
- Shepperd, W.D., L.A. Asherin, S.A. Mata, and D.L. McClain. 2004. The cutting methods demonstration study at Fraser Experimental Forest. *In* Silviculture in special places: Proceedings of the 2003 National Silviculture Workshop. W.D. Shepperd and L.G. Eskew (editors). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colo. RMRS-P-34.
- Smith, S.M. 1988. Regeneration delays and natural yields of untreated backlog forest land in British Columbia. Canadian Forest Service and B.C. Ministry of Forests, Victoria, B.C. FRDA Report No. 043.
- Stockdale, C., S. Taylor, and B. Hawkes. 2004. Incorporating mountain pine beetle impacts on stand dynamics

- in stand and landscape models: A problem analysis. *In* Mountain pine beetle symposium: Challenges and solutions, October 30–31, 2003, Kelowna, B.C. T.L. Shore, J.E. Brooks, and J.E. Stone (editors). Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. Information Report BC-X-399. pp. 200–209.
- Stone, W.E. and M.L. Wolfe. 1996. Response of understory vegetation to variable tree mortality following a mountain pine beetle epidemic in lodgepole pine stands in northern Utah. *Plant Ecology* 122:1–12.
- Stuart, J.D., J.K. Agee, and R.I. Gara. 1989. Lodgepole pine regeneration in an old, self-perpetuating forest in south central Oregon. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 19:1096–1104.
- Tesch, S.D., K. Baker-Katz, E.J. Korpela, and J.W. Mann. 1993. Recovery of Douglas-fir seedlings and saplings wounded during overstory removal. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23:1684–1694.
- van Hees, W.W.S. 2005. Spruce reproduction dynamics on Alaska's Kenai Peninsula, 1987–2000. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Portland, Oreg. Research Paper PNW-RP-563.
- Veblen, T.T., K.S. Hadley, M.S. Reid, and A.J. Rebertus. 1991. The response of subalpine forests to spruce bark beetle outbreak in Colorado. *Ecology* 72:213–231.
- Webb, S.L. and S.E. Scanga. 2001. Windstorm disturbance without patch dynamics: Twelve years of change in a Minnesota forest. *Ecology* 82:893–897.
- Weetman, G. and A.H. Vyse. 1990. Natural regeneration. *In* Regenerating British Columbia's forests. D.P. Laverder, R. Parish, C.M. Johnson, G. Montgomery, A. Vyse, R.A. Willis, and D. Winston (editors). University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, B.C. pp. 118–129.
- Wickman, B.E., K.W. Seidel, and G.L. Starr. 1986. Natural regeneration 10 years after a Douglas-fir tussock moth outbreak in northeastern Oregon. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, Oreg. Research Paper PNW-RP-370.
- Williams, H., C. Messier, and D.D. Kneeshaw. 1999. Effects of light availability and sapling size on the growth and crown morphology of understory Douglas-fir and lodgepole pine. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29:222–231.
- Wohlgemuth, T., P. Kull, and H. Wuthrich. 2002. Disturbance of microsites and early tree regeneration after windthrow in Swiss mountain forests due to the winter storm Vivian 1990. *Forest and Snow Landscape Research* 77:17–47.
- Wright, E.F., C.D. Canham, and K.D. Coates. 2000. Effects of suppression and release on sapling growth for 11 tree species of northern, interior British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 30:1571–1580.

ARTICLE RECEIVED: April 16, 2006

ARTICLE ACCEPTED: June 2, 2006

© FORREX Forest Research Extension Partnership. ISSN 1488-4674. Information in this publication may be reproduced in electronic or print form for use in educational, training, and not-for-profit activities provided that the source of the work is fully acknowledged. However, reproduction of this work, in whole or in part, for commercial use, resale, or redistribution requires written permission from FORREX Forest Research Extension Partnership. For this purpose, contact: Managing Editor, Suite 702, 235 1st Avenue, Kamloops, BC V2C 3J4, or email jem@forrex.org

Test Your Knowledge . . .

Examining the utility of advance regeneration for reforestation and timber production in unsalvaged mountain-pine-beetle-killed stands: Controlling factors and management implications

How well can you recall some of the main messages in the preceding discussion paper?
Test your knowledge by answering the following questions. Answers are at the bottom of the page.

1. In general, the release of what understorey tree species should be favoured by stand conditions after mountain pine beetle attack?
 - A) Fast-growing pioneer species such as lodgepole pine.
 - B) Mid- to late-seral species, such as spruce, Douglas-fir, and subalpine fir.
 - C) Deciduous species, such as trembling aspen and paper birch.
 - D) No particular preference will likely be observed.

2. What factors may permit the presence of lodgepole pine advance regeneration in forest understories?
 - A) Large canopy gaps.
 - B) Edaphic site limitations (moisture or nutrient deficits).
 - C) Uneven-aged stands.
 - D) All of the above.

3. Some studies have suggested that a significant portion of MPB-attacked stands have high densities of advanced regeneration. Some of these stands, however, may not become well-stocked, productive forests because:
 - A) Clumpy spacing may result in poor stand occupancy and low production.
 - B) Composition may be dominated by unacceptable species.
 - C) Health and vigour of advance regeneration may be diminished by poor spacing.
 - D) Health and vigour of advance regeneration may be diminished by physical damage during stand breakup.
 - E) All of the above.

ANSWERS

1. B 2. D 3. E